

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,798	07/14/2003	Gerard T. Sindone	5784	
7.	590 12/03/2004		EXAMINER .	
Fitzpatrick Cella, Harper & Scinto 30 Rockefeller Plaza			SMITH, KIMBERLY S	
New York., NY 10112-3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3644	
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A
Advisory Action	10/618,798	SINDONE, GERARI	D T.
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kimberly S Smith	3644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the same application and the same application are same applications.	ation. A proper reply the places the application	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR F	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the 27 certain the contraction of t	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailings FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the main	ng date of the final rejecting the FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation of the final the fi	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the po		
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered l		.,	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		,,	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	·	erially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 2, 3.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper Ņo(s)	·	
10. Other:		. \	

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment after final includes limitations regarding the reel mounting members being stationary and the support member of claim 5 has replaced a "vertical" limitation with a "hollow" limitation. As such, these newly presented limitations would require further search and consideration.